

DATED: 4-1.08

ATTEST: CERTAL DE TO TRACTO CONTROL DE LA CONTROL COUNT

Filed 04/07/2008

Page 1 of 2
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

MAR 1 2 2008

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL

Document 5

MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

08-1249

IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1871

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-11)

On October 16, 2007, the Panel transferred two civil actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See ____F.Supp.2d___ (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 86 additional actions have been transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and assigned to Judge Rufe.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the reasons stated in the order of October 16, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

SAR 23 1988

CLERK'S OFFICE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1871

SCHEDULE CTO-11 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST.</u> <u>DIV.</u> <u>C.A.</u> #	CASE CAPTION
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN CAN 3 08-1057 CAN 3 08-1131	John Pruett, Sr., etc. v. GlaxoSmithKline, et al. Mohinder Khanna v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al. Opposed 3/28/08
NEW YORK EASTERN NYE 1 08-702	Patricio R. Mamot v. GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceutical Co.
NEW YORK SOUTHERN NYS 1 08-1247 NYS 1 08-1248 NYS 1 08-1249	Hampleton Hicks v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al. Carl Rian v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al. Catherine Lambert v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
OHIO NORTHERN OHN 1 08-398	Kathryn Lee Neige, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
TENNESSEE EASTERN TNE 3 08-10 TNE 3 08-59	Arnold Bailey v. GlaxoSmithKline, Inc., et al. Pamela Gail Ward, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
TEXAS SOUTHERN TXS 4 08-435	Stephanie Woods, et al. v. GlaxoSmithKline, Inc., et al.
UTAH UT 2 08-146	Linda Lloyd, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.